

In vivo Case Studies

Make Informed Decisions about Sourcing Studies

Leverage our global network of suppliers and communicate with multiple providers 550

200,000 Non-GLP Toxicology 180,000 160,000 140,000 120,000 A researcher sought suppliers who could 100,000 80,000 conduct a 14-day rat oral gavage study 60,000 40,000 precede by a single dose toxicokinetic study. 20,000


Utilizing a **detailed comparison of study conduct** to make an informed decision, the research chose the best proposal for their work.



100% response rate and details shared from all 4 suppliers contacted



Proposal Comparison





Drastically different proposed costs required more details from suppliers

Expedited Study Scheduling

Challenge

In vivo study start dates are difficult to come by due to staffing and animal shortages. Most CROs are scheduling months to years in the future, which can cause massive issues when compounds are preparing to advance to the clinic.

Scientist.com Solution

With Scientist.com, you can submit your request to multiple suppliers in order to secure the fastest start date and expedite your critical work. Organizations who strategically utilize Scientist.com go from request to PO in an average of 7 days, with study start dates within 6 months.



Easily compare study timelines from multiple suppliers to keep your project on track



Utilize Scientist.com to contact multiple suppliers and secure the fastest start date for your work

Day 7: First bid elected and PO received	Study start date within 6 months	Request to PO in 7 days



Scientist.com experts can help you place your in vivo study quickly and efficiently

Creative Solutions for Busy Researchers


Be supported by a team who is actively seeking ways to streamline your operations

Challenge

Regulated services, including those involving live animals, often require detailed compliance information to allow efficient review of the protocol by other stakeholders. When a researcher told Scientist.com that they had already submitted nearly 300 PK screening requests by mid 2022, the team came up with a creative way to save them time.

Scientist.com Solution

The new process will save the researcher an average of 5 minutes per request, leading to an estimated 50 hours of time savings for the individual per year. It will also lead to easier stakeholder review of repeat requests.



The Scientist.com team works diligently to make it easy for researchers to save time and streamline their processes

© 2023 Scientist.com. Confidential. All Rights Reserved.







Simplified review process for stakeholders